

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

CURTIS WARD,

Plaintiff,
v.

Civil Action No. 2:11-13929
HONORABLE SEAN F. COX
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant,

_____ /

OPINION AND ORDER SUMMARILY DISMISSING COMPLAINT

Plaintiff is an inmate currently confined at the at the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility in Adrian, Michigan. On September 15, 2011, Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen signed an order of deficiency, which required plaintiff to provide an application to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs, a signed certification of his prison trust account from an authorized jail official, and a current computerized trust fund account showing the history of the financial transactions in plaintiff's institutional trust fund account for the past six months. Alternatively, the order allowed plaintiff to pay the three hundred and fifty (\$ 350.00) dollar filing fee in full. Plaintiff was given thirty days to comply with the order.

On September 22, 2011, plaintiff provided this Court with a copy of the application to proceed without prepayment of fees. However, plaintiff failed to file a certified trust account statement, nor did he provide the court with a current computerized trust fund statement of account showing the history of the financial transactions in his institutional trust fund account for the past six months.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) requires a prisoner who wishes to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs in a civil complaint in federal court to file a certified copy of the trust fund account statement for that prisoner for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint or notice of appeal, obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined. *See also McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F. 3d 601, 605 (6th Cir. 1997).

If an inmate who does not pay the full filing fee fails to provide an affidavit of indigency or a certified trust account statement, the district court must notify the prisoner of the deficiency and the prisoner will then have thirty days from the date of the deficiency order to correct the error or to pay the full filing fee. *McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F. 3d at 605. If the inmate fails to comply with the district court's directions, "[t]he district court must presume that the prisoner is not a pauper and assess the inmate the full amount of fees." *Id.* The district court must then order that the case be dismissed for want of prosecution. *Id.* If the case is dismissed under these circumstances, it is not to be reinstated to the district court's active docket despite the subsequent payment of filing fees. *Id.*

In the present case, plaintiff has failed to provide the Court with a signed certification regarding trust fund account. An uncertified trust fund account statement, or one that lacks a signature, is insufficient to satisfy the filing requirements for permitting a prisoner to proceed *in forma pauperis* under § 1915(a)(2), nor would it cure the deficiency in this case. *See Hart v. Jaukins*, 99 Fed. Appx. 208, 209-10 (10th Cir. 2004); *See also Moore v. Vantiffilin*, No. 2009 WL 224548, * 1 (E.D. Mich. January 30, 2009). Plaintiff has also failed to provide a copy of his current computerized trust fund

statement of account showing the history of the financial transactions in his institutional trust fund account for the past six months. The failure of a prisoner to comply with a court order directing a prisoner to file a six month prison account statement will result in dismissal of the complaint for failure to pay certain fees. *Madden v. Myers*, 102 F. 3d 74, 78, n. 6 (3rd Cir. 1996); *See also In Re Smith*, 114 F. 3d 1247, 1251 (D.C. Cir. 1997)(failure of prisoner to comply with the requirements under the PLRA by submitting prison account statements to the court may result in dismissal). Plaintiff has therefore failed to correct the deficiency in this case.

Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES** the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) and (b)(1) and (2) for failure to comply with the filing requirements of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. Because the case is being dismissed under these circumstances, the Court further **ORDERS** that it is not to be reinstated to the district court's active docket despite the subsequent payment of filing fees. *McGore*, 114 F. 3d at 605.

Dated: November 21, 2011

S/ Sean F. Cox
Sean F. Cox
U. S. District Court Judge

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2011, the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record by electronic means and upon Curtis Ward by First Class Mail at the address below:

Curtis Ward # 241654
Gus Harrison Correctional Facility
2727 E. Beecher Street
Adrian, Mi 49221

Dated: November 21, 2011

S/ J. Hernandez
Case Manager